FARAD CONSTITUTION SHEET NO.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE,
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.3510/1995

Dr. Dinkar Govind Pathak
...Versus...
Labour Court, Bhandara and others

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's Orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's Orders

---

(Shri J.B. Jaiswal, A.G.P. for respondents - State)

CORAM:- A.B. CHAUDHARI, J.
DATED :- 06.07.2007.

By the present writ petition, the petitioner has challenged the order dated 5.10.1995, below exh.24 in Criminal Complaint (ULP) No.44/1990, rejecting the application made by the petitioner for discharge from the contempt proceedings under Section 48. Counsel for petitioner is absent. Heard Shri Jaiswal, learned A.G.P. appears for respondents – State. In normal course in the absence of Counsel for petitioner, the petition would have been dismissed in default. However, having gone through the impugned order and the petition and having heard Shri Jaiswal, learned A.G.P. for State, I find that this petition can

be disposed of on merits.

The petitioner had applied to the Labour Court, Bhandara vide application exh.24 in Criminal Complaint (ULP) No. 44/1990 for dropping the proceedings against him and discharging him from those contempt proceedings. The Labour Court, Bhandara dismissed that application by recording detailed reasons. After rejection of the said application, the non-applicant/petitioner filed this writ petition. This Court did not issue any interim order of stay. In the result, the contempt proceedings must have gone ahead. Even otherwise having gone through the impugned order, I do not find that it suffers from any error. No case is, therefore, made out for interfering in the said impugned order in writ jurisdiction. Writ petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.

JUDGE

ssw